



Litigation Review Committee

September 2017

September 7, 2017

10:30 - 11:30 a.m.

East Committee Room, McNamara Alumni Center

LIT - SEP 2017

1. Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters - Review/Action

Docket Item Summary - Page 3

Resolution - Page 4



BOARD OF REGENTS DOCKET ITEM SUMMARY

Litigation Review

September 7, 2017

AGENDA ITEM: Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

Review Review + Action Action Discussion

This is a report required by Board policy.

PRESENTERS: Douglas Peterson, General Counsel

PURPOSE & KEY POINTS

To consider a resolution to conduct a non-public meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to discuss attorney-client privileged matters.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The committee reviews litigation matters and obtains legal advice regarding specific University actions and their legal consequences.



REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION TO

Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

WHEREAS, based on advice of the General Counsel, the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee has balanced the purposes served by the Open Meeting Law and by the attorney-client privilege, and determined that there is a need for absolute confidentiality to discuss litigation strategy in particular matters involving the University of Minnesota.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 13D.01, Subd. 3 and 13D.05 Subd. 3(b), a non-public meeting of Litigation Review Committee be held on Thursday, September 7, 2017 at 10:30 a.m. in the East Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center, for the purpose of discussing attorney-client privileged matters including the following:

- I. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. AT&T, et al.*
- II. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. LSI Corporation and Avago Technologies U.S. Inc.*
- III. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. Gilead Sciences, Inc.*
- IV. *Pro Life Action Ministries, Incorporated, Brian Gibson, and Bridget Busacker v. Regents of the University of Minnesota*