



# Litigation Review Committee

June 2018

June 7, 2018

11:00 a.m. - 12:30 p.m.

East Committee Room, McNamara Alumni Center

## LIT - JUN 2018

1. Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters - Review/Action

Docket Item Summary - Page 3

Resolution - Page 4



# BOARD OF REGENTS DOCKET ITEM SUMMARY

---

**Litigation Review**

**June 7, 2018**

**AGENDA ITEM:** Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

**Review**

**Review + Action**

**Action**

**Discussion**

*This is a report required by Board policy.*

**PRESENTERS:** Douglas Peterson, General Counsel

## **PURPOSE & KEY POINTS**

To consider a resolution to conduct a non-public meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to discuss attorney-client privileged matters.

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The committee reviews litigation matters and obtains legal advice regarding specific University actions and their legal consequences.



## REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

### RESOLUTION TO

#### **Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters**

**WHEREAS**, based on advice of the General Counsel, the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee has balanced the purposes served by the Open Meeting Law and by the attorney-client privilege, and determined that there is a need for absolute confidentiality to discuss litigation strategy in particular matters involving the University of Minnesota.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 13D.01, Subd. 3 and 13D.05 Subd. 3(b), a non-public meeting of Litigation Review Committee be held on Thursday, June 7, 2018 at 11:00 a.m. in the East Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center, for the purpose of discussing attorney-client privileged matters including the following:

- I. *Shannon Miller, Jen Banford and Annette Wiles v. The Board of Regents of the University of Minnesota*
- II. Potential litigation by former and current students
- III. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. Gilead Sciences, Inc.*
- IV. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. AT&T Mobility LLC, et al.; Sprint Spectrum L.P., et al.; T-Mobile USA, Inc., et al.; Cellco Partnership, d/b/a Verizon Wireless, et al.*
- V. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. LSI Corporation and Avago Technologies U.S., Inc.*