



# Litigation Review Committee

June 2016

June 9, 2016

7:45 a.m. - 8:30 a.m.

East Committee Room, McNamara Alumni Center

## LIT - JUN 2016

1. Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters - Review/Action

Docket Item Summary - Page 3

Resolution - Page 4



# BOARD OF REGENTS DOCKET ITEM SUMMARY

---

**Litigation Review**

**June 9, 2016**

**AGENDA ITEM:** Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

Review     Review + Action     Action     Discussion

*This is a report required by Board policy.*

**PRESENTERS:** William Donohue, General Counsel

## **PURPOSE & KEY POINTS**

To consider a resolution to conduct a non-public meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to discuss attorney-client privileged matters.

## **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

The Litigation Review Committee reviews litigation matters and obtains legal advice regarding specific University actions and their legal consequences.



**REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**

**RESOLUTION TO**

**Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee  
to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters**

**WHEREAS**, based on advice of the General Counsel, the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee has balanced the purposes served by the Open Meeting Law and by the attorney-client privilege, and determined that there is a need for absolute confidentiality to discuss litigation strategy in particular matters involving the University of Minnesota.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 13D.01, Subd. 3 and 13D.05 Subd. 3(b), a non-public meeting of Litigation Review Committee be held on Thursday, June 9, 2016 at 7:45 a.m. in the East Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center, for the purpose of discussing attorney-client privileged matters including the following:

- I. Potential Claims Arising from Patent Infringement
- II. Environmental contamination claims: Gopher Ordnance Works
- III. *Olga Issaenko v. University of Minnesota*